

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,245	04/22/2005	Seiichiro Kawashima	271283US0PCT	1051	
22850	7590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SF	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN STREET	BALASUBRAMANIAN, VENKATARAMAN			
.,	IA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1624			

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/532	,245	KAWASHIMA ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Venkata	araman Balasubramanian	1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on t	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no cation. ory period will apply and, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed (	on 20 April 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 April 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	<u> </u>							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-23 is/are pending	in the application	١.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1, 7-9 and 11-23</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or electior	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-,	•		FR 1.121(d).			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to b	•	= : :	='				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:	• • •	•	)-(d) or (f).				
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				l Stago			
	application from the Internationa	•		sa in ans Nadona	i Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f	•	` ''	ed.				
			·					
- Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	Organia)	6) Other:	The second secon	- ·, ·			

Art Unit: 1624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' response, which included cancellation of claim 10, addition of new claims 13-23 and amendment to claims 1-9 and 11, filed on 4/20/2006, is made of record. Claims 1-9 and 11-23 are now pending. In view of applicants' response, the 112 first and second paragraph rejections of claim 10 and 11 have been obviated and or rendered moot. However, the following rejection made in the previous office action remains

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 7-9 and 11-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 10-13, 16, 19, 22-24, 27 and 28 of copending Application No. 10/475,094 Although the conflicting

claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because when  $R_1$  is hydroxyl,  $R_2$  is hydrogen, the compounds claimed in the instant claims overlap with those of the copending application 10/475,094.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. This rejection is same as made in the previous office action except that cancelled claim 10 is excluded and newly added claims 13-23 are now added. Applicants' have differed filing a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Said claims would be allowable since prior art search in the relevant art area yielded nothing teaching or suggesting compound of formula I embraced in the instant claims.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then

Application/Control Number: 10/532,245

Art Unit: 1624

the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

Page 4

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date

of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire

later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300. Any

inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venkataraman Balasubramanian

5/11/2006